

**COMMENTS FROM CEM AT GARNER HIGH ON TUESDAY,  
SEPTEMBER 2, 2005**

Generally, the notes are written as parents wrote them. Some information that might identify a child's unique situation was not included in this report:

- I am pro year-round except I don't believe K-12 would work. K-8 would definitely work but middle school as very limited electives and class switching. In high school there are so many different electives and classes that if you had 4 tracks your classes would be heavily uneven. I go to SERHS and like the modified schedule and think it will always work until you add tracks.
- Less audience participation than I expected. (**NOTE:** *Only a few –less than 5-of the persons chose to speak during the time allowed*)
- Please do not move juniors when opening new high schools. These students have already vested interests in the high school they attend.
- The concern we have at Holy Springs is the idea of moving juniors to the new high school. Besides not having varsity sports are they going to have enough AP classes and advanced levels?

SEPTEMBER 27  
GARNER HIGH SCHOOL  
PARENT INPUT

- Wants YR Ki – 12 grades
- Would like to see two WCPSS high schools as vocational high schools
- Consider course offerings – every child is not going to college; many will go directly into the work force
- Don't move juniors to new high schools
- Had there been a YR high school my children would have continued in YR
- I tried YR and now love it
- Regarding transportation – in the Garner area we had 24 routes last year and have grown to 27-30 routes this year; we are a true three-tier system; our buses begin to roll at 5:15 a.m.; we are currently short drivers but those we have work hard
- In favor of YR; was hard for my family at first, we got adjusted and now realize it was best for our family